Portfolio Review Form		
Previous Rubric Section	Changes	
Section 2 –	Standard 3.2 & 4.3 now indicate the <i>Program Verification Form</i> satisfies the final	
Standard 3.2 & 4.3	standard.	
Section 2 – Change of wording under the table	New wording focuses on professional skill application	
	Evidence of skill application must come from your field site.	
	Practicum experiences (alone) do not qualify.	
Domains 1-10 –		
Description of requirements (not	A new description of requirements has been added. This applies to all Domains.	
included on the prior rubric)		
Domains 1-10 –	All indicators of knowledge have been removed for all Domains.	
Indicators of Knowledge		
	The wording/requirement for each indicator has been updated to align with the 2020	
Domains 1 – 10	NASP Practice Model Standards.	
Evidence of skills	Examples of evidence of skill for each Domain have been added.	
	Nonexamples of evidence of skill for Domain have been added.	
Aligning names of files submitted	Make sure that the artifacts you mention in your Domain Response Matrix are	
to the Domain Response Matrix	appropriately titled for easy identification and remember to upload each one in your	
	submission.	
Not clearly explaining your role in creating submitted artifacts	Submitting a district's crisis plan, for example, without stating your role in either	
	creating or implementing that plan makes it difficult to determine your skills. In	
	some cases, getting a letter from an administrator attesting to your role can be	
	helpful.	
Loading the wrong artifacts or	Ensure the artifacts you upload for your application are correct and are clearly	
forgetting to load all artifacts into	identified by their filename. Remember to include all of the artifacts you mention in	
the NCSP online application	your Domain Response Matrix.	
Combining articles into one large	Upload artifacts individually with appropriately named files. Do not add them all to	
PDF.	one PDF that requires reviewers to scroll in an attempt to find an individual artifact.	

Domain Response Matrix		
Previous Section	Changes	
Domains 1-10 –	This wording has changed to direct you to include all of the needed information in	
Description of requirements	your matrix.	
Domains 1-10 – Demonstration and evidence of knowledge	This section has been removed for each domain as evidence of knowledge is no longer required.	
Domains 1-10 – Reformatted	You will now write a statement that explains your role for each artifact and describes how the artifacts submitted demonstrate your skills for each of the indicators. These are the same indicators you see in the Portfolio Rubric.	

Problem-Solving Report (previously Case Study)	
Previous Rubric Section	Changes
	What was previously a table that indicated 'Effective' in one column and 'Needs
Sections 1-5 –	Development' in the other column has been condensed to one column of 'Key
Criteria revised	Elements' that lists indicators you need to meet. Each section now indicates if you
	have met the criteria or if the section needs development.
Sections 1-5	Comments are now provided on each indicator instead of in one statement under
	each section. This allows feedback on each indicator.
Section 1	The title of this section was changed.
Section 2	Rewording of each indicator, some requirements added.
Section 3	Rewording of some indicators, some indicators separated
Section 4	Rewording of some indicators, addition of citation for chosen intervention
Section 5	Rewording of some indicators, and revision of data needed from 7 data points to 8
	data points over the course of a minimum of 6 weeks.
Recommended Resources	Updated with new resource list